1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DAVID RAY WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-2559 LKK KJM P

CSP SOLANO, et al.,

VS.

Defendants.

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. Plaintiff seeks relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis 28 U.S.C. § 1915. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302 under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Plaintiff has submitted a declaration that makes the showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted.

Plaintiff is required to pay the statutory filing fee in effect at the time of filing of his action, \$150.00. 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), 1915(b)(1). Plaintiff has been without funds for six months and is currently without funds. Accordingly, the court will not assess an initial partial filing fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Plaintiff is obligated to make monthly payments of twenty percent of the preceding month's income credited to plaintiff's prison trust account. These payments shall be collected and forwarded by the appropriate agency to the Clerk of the Court

Solomon

/////

each time the amount in plaintiff's account exceeds \$10.00, until the filing fee is paid in full. 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(b)(2).

Plaintiff's complaint states a cognizable claim for relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). If the allegations of the complaint are proven, plaintiff has a reasonable opportunity to prevail on the merits of his Eighth Amendment claims against defendants Parker, Roher and Solomon. The court will order service of process upon those defendants.

Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is granted.
- 2. Plaintiff is obligated to pay the statutory filing fee of \$150.00 for this action.
 The fee shall be collected and paid in accordance with this court's order to the Director of the
 California Department of Corrections filed concurrently herewith.
 - 3. Service is appropriate for the following defendants: Parker, Roher and
- 4. The Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff three USM-285 forms, one summons, an instruction sheet and a copy of the complaint filed December 2, 2004.

1	5. Within thirty days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the
2	attached Notice of Submission of Documents and submit the following documents to the court:
3	a. The completed Notice of Submission of Documents;
4	b. One completed summons;
5	c. One completed USM-285 form for each defendant listed in number 3
6	above; and
7	d. Four copies of the endorsed complaint filed December 3, 2004.
8	6. Plaintiff need not attempt service on defendants and need not request waiver of
9	service. Upon receipt of the above-described documents, the court will direct the United States
10	Marshal to serve the above-named defendants under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 without
11	payment of costs.
12	7. Plaintiff's December 30, 2004 request for the appointment of counsel is
13	denied.
14	DATED: May 18, 2005.
15	MA mullas
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	
18	•
19	
20	
21	1 will2559.1a
22	
23	
24	
25	